

Online Supplementary Material

Matsumoto T, Darlington O, Miller R, et al. Estimating the Economic and Clinical Value of Reducing Antimicrobial Resistance to Three Gram-negative Pathogens in Japan. *JHEOR*. 2021;8(2)64-75. <u>doi:10.36469/jheor.2021.28327</u>

Table S1. Proportion of Pathogens for Each IndicationTable S2. Calculation of the Patient Numbers Within Each Scenario by Pathogen Using MDVTable S3. Calculation of Resistance Rates for PIP/TAZ and MEMP for Each Scenario (pooled by pathogen)References

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0 and legal code at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode for more information.

Input	Input Value	Source		
HAP				
Proportion E.coli	0.00%			
Proportion Klebsiella pneumoniae	5.40%	The JRS Guidelines for the Management		
Proportion P.aeruginosa	13.90%	——————————————————————————————————————		
Total	19.30%	NA		
VAP				
Proportion E.coli	2.50%	The JRS Guidelines for the Management of Pneumonia in Adults ¹		
Proportion Klebsiella pneumoniae	6.40%			
Proportion P.aeruginosa	34.80%			
Total	43.70%	NA		
UTI				
Proportion E.coli	39.84%			
Proportion Klebsiella pneumoniae	13.84%	Kobayashi et al. ²		
Proportion P.aeruginosa	5.79%			
Total	59.47%	NA		
IAI				
Proportion E.coli	17.50%			
Proportion Klebsiella pneumoniae	8.75%	Mikamo et al. ³		
Proportion P.aeruginosa	5.62%			
Total	31.87%	NA		
Abbreviations: HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia	a; IAI, intra-abdominal infection;	JRS, Japanese Respiratory Society; UTI, urinary tract		

Table S1. Proportion of Pathogens for Each Indication

infection; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

Table S2. Calculation of the Patient Numbers Within Each Scenario by Pathogen Using MDV

Input	Input Value							
	E.coli	Klebsiella pneumoniae	P.aeruginosa	Source/calculation				
Pathogen Proportion Within Each Indication								
Pathogen proportion for HAP; %	0.00%	27.98%	72.02%	Scaled based on the pathogen distribution shown in Table S1				
Pathogen proportion for VAP; %	5.72%	14.65%	79.63%					
Pathogen proportion for UTI; %	66.99%	23.27%	9.74%					
Pathogen proportion for IAI; %	54.91%	27.46%	17.63%					
Scenario A - Patient Numbers								
Total HAP patients; n		109307		-				
Total VAP patients; n		271		MDV; Unique number of in-patients, 15 years or				
Total UTI patients; n		119601		older and diagnosis (HAP, VAP, UTI, and IAI) ‡				
Total IAI patients; n		85708						
Total; n (%)	62 655 (52.36%)	29 972 (25.05%)	27 030 (22.59%)	Calculation; Sum of pathogen distribution (Table S1) multiplied by patient numbers				
Scenario B - Patient Numbers								
Total HAP patients; n		78416						
Total VAP patients; n		222		or older with diagnosis (HAP, VAP, UTI, and IAI)				
Total UTI patients; n		88 890		and prescribed possible antimicrobial agents with				
Total IAI patients; n		76420		antibacterial sensitivity against 3 species‡				
Total; n (%)	101 524 (41.62%)	63 641 (26.09%)	78 783 (32.26%)	Calculation; Sum of pathogen proportion within each indication multiplied by patient numbers				
Scenario C - Patient Numbers								
Total HAP patients; n		35342		MDV; Unique number of in-patient with 15 years or older with diagnosis (HAP, VAP, UTI, and IAI) and prescribed PIP/TAZ or MEMP‡				
Total VAP patients; n		142						
Total UTI patients; n		27 462						
Total IAI patients; n		26514	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Total; n (%)	32964 (36.85%)	23 580 (26.36%)	32916 (36.79%)	Calculation; Sum of pathogen proportion within each indication multiplied by patient numbers				

Abbreviations: MDV, Medical Data Vision; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; IAI, intra-abdominal infection; MEMP, Meropenem; PIP/TAZ, Piperacillin/Tazobactam; UTI, urinary tract infection; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

The count results of hospital acquired pneumonia may include community-acquired pneumonia patients. ‡The column of "Total" is not unique number of patients. It is the total of 4 unique patient numbers.

Table 35. Calculation of Resistan	ce Rates Ioi	La mart Value	ILIVII IOI Lac	n Scenario (pooled by pathogen)		
Input	E.coli	Klebsiella pneumoniae	P.aeruginosa	Source/calculation		
Resistance Rates by Pathogen						
PIP/TAZ resistance	4.90%	5.10%	17.30%			
MEMP resistance	0.30%	0.60%	15.50%	- JAINIS		
Scenario A						
Proportion of patients	52.36%	25.05%	22.59%	See Table S2		
PIP/TAZ resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	2.57%	1.28%	3.91%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by PIP/TAZ resistance		
MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	0.16%	0.15%	3.50%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by MEMP resistance		
PIP/TAZ Resistance (pooled)		7.75%		Calculation; Sum of PIP/TAZ resistance (weight- ed by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
MEMP Resistance (pooled)		3.81%		Calculation; Sum of MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
Scenario B						
Proportion of patients	41.62%	26.09%	32.29%	See Table S2		
PIP/TAZ resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	2.04%	1.33%	5.59%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by PIP/TAZ resistance		
MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	0.12%	0.16%	5.01%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by MEMP resistance		
PIP/TAZ Resistance (pooled)		8.96%		Calculation; Sum of PIP/TAZ resistance (weight- ed by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
MEMP Resistance (pooled)		5.29%		Calculation; Sum of MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
Scenario C						
Proportion of patients	36.85%	26.36%	36.79%	See Table S2		
PIP/TAZ resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	1.81%	1.34%	6.37%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by PIP/TAZ resistance		
MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients)	0.11%	0.16%	5.70%	Calculation; Proportion of patients multiplied by MEMP resistance		
PIP/TAZ Resistance (pooled)		9.52%		Calculation; Sum of PIP/TAZ resistance (weight- ed by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
MEMP Resistance (pooled)		5.97%		Calculation; Sum of MEMP resistance (weighted by proportion of patients) by pathogen		
Abbreviations: MEMP, Meropenem; PIP/TAZ, Piperacillin/Tazobactam.						

REFERENCES

- 1. Japanese Respiratory Society. Adult Pneumonia Clinical Practice Guidelines 2017. <u>https://www.jrs.or.jp/modules/guide-lines/index.php?content_id=94</u>. Accessed January 28, 2021.
- 2. Kobayashi K, Yamamoto S, Takahashi S, et al. The third national Japanese antimicrobial susceptibility pattern surveillance program: bacterial isolates from complicated urinary tract infection patients. *J Infect Chemother*. 2020;26(5):418-428. doi:10.1016/j.jiac.2020.01.004
- 3. Mikamo H, Takesue Y, Kusachi S, Kotaka M, Kawachi Y, Aikawa N. An open-label multicenter study of tazobactam/piperacillin in the treatment of patients with intra-abdominal infections. *Jpn J Chemother*. 2012;60:560-572.
- Japan Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (JANIS) Clinical Laboratory Division. Annual Open Report 2019 (All Facilities) <u>https://janis.mhlw.go.jp/english/report/open_report/2019/3/1/ken_Open_Report_Eng_201900_clsi2012.pdf</u>. Published July 3, 2020. Accessed January 18, 2021.